On certain special values of *L*-functions associated to elliptic curves and real quadratic fields

Mo Zhongpeng (Mok Chung Pang)

Soochow University

September 24th, 2020

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let E/\mathbf{Q} be an elliptic curve over \mathbf{Q} , $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let E/\mathbf{Q} be an elliptic curve over \mathbf{Q} , $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$.

By the modularity theorem, we have the weight two Hecke eigenform $f = f_E$ of level N that is associated to E/\mathbf{Q} .

Let E/\mathbf{Q} be an elliptic curve over \mathbf{Q} , $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$.

By the modularity theorem, we have the weight two Hecke eigenform $f = f_E$ of level N that is associated to E/\mathbf{Q} .

In terms of *L*-functions:

$$L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) = L(s, f)$$

Let E/\mathbf{Q} be an elliptic curve over \mathbf{Q} , $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$.

By the modularity theorem, we have the weight two Hecke eigenform $f = f_E$ of level N that is associated to E/\mathbf{Q} .

In terms of *L*-functions:

$$L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) = L(s, f)$$

And more generally, for any Dirichlet character χ :

$$L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) = L(s, f, \chi)$$

We assume that χ is even. Define:

$$L^{alg}(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) := rac{c_{\chi}L(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi)}{\tau(\chi)\Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}}}$$

We assume that χ is even. Define:

$$\mathcal{L}^{alg}(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) := rac{c_{\chi}\mathcal{L}(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi)}{ au(\chi)\Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}}}$$

where c_{χ} is the conductor of the Dirichlet character χ , $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum of χ ,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We assume that χ is even. Define:

$$\mathcal{L}^{alg}(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) := rac{c_{\chi} \mathcal{L}(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi)}{ au(\chi) \Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}}}$$

where c_{χ} is the conductor of the Dirichlet character χ , $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum of χ , and

$$\Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}} = \int_{E(\mathbf{R})} |\omega_{E/\mathbf{Q}}|$$

for a choice of global invariant 1-form $\omega_{E/\mathbf{Q}}$ of E/\mathbf{Q} .

We assume that χ is even. Define:

$$\mathcal{L}^{alg}(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) := rac{c_{\chi} \mathcal{L}(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi)}{ au(\chi) \Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}}}$$

where c_{χ} is the conductor of the Dirichlet character χ , $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum of χ , and

$$\Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}} = \int_{E(\mathbf{R})} |\omega_{E/\mathbf{Q}}|$$

for a choice of global invariant 1-form $\omega_{E/\mathbf{Q}}$ of E/\mathbf{Q} .

By old results of Shimura, we have:

$$L^{alg}(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) \in \mathbf{Q}(\chi) \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$$

In particular, if χ is an even quadratic Dirichlet character, then we have:

$$\mathcal{L}^{alg}(1, \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) = rac{c_{\chi}^{1/2}\mathcal{L}(1, \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}, \chi)}{\Omega^+_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}}} \in \mathbf{Q}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

In particular, if χ is an even quadratic Dirichlet character, then we have:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{alg}}(1, \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) = rac{c_\chi^{1/2} \mathcal{L}(1, \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}, \chi)}{\Omega^+_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}}} \in \mathbf{Q}$$

Now, in addition to the elliptic curve E/\mathbf{Q} , we also consider an extra data given by a real quadratic extension M/\mathbf{Q} ,

In particular, if χ is an even quadratic Dirichlet character, then we have:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{alg}}(1, \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) = rac{c_{\chi}^{1/2} \mathcal{L}(1, \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}, \chi)}{\Omega^+_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}}} \in \mathbf{Q}$$

Now, in addition to the elliptic curve E/\mathbf{Q} , we also consider an extra data given by a real quadratic extension M/\mathbf{Q} , whose discriminant is noted as D_M .

In particular, if χ is an even quadratic Dirichlet character, then we have:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{alg}}(1, \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}, \chi) = rac{c_\chi^{1/2} \mathcal{L}(1, \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}, \chi)}{\Omega^+_{\mathcal{E}/\mathbf{Q}}} \in \mathbf{Q}$$

Now, in addition to the elliptic curve E/\mathbf{Q} , we also consider an extra data given by a real quadratic extension M/\mathbf{Q} , whose discriminant is noted as D_M .

For the rest of the lecture, we assume, concerning the data E/\mathbf{Q} and M/\mathbf{Q} , the following:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$ can be factorized as $N = N_+ \cdot N_-$, where

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$ can be factorized as $N = N_+ \cdot N_-$, where
 - N_+ and N_- are relatively prime.

- $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$ can be factorized as $N = N_+ \cdot N_-$, where
 - N_+ and N_- are relatively prime.
 - *N*₋ is square-free, and is equal to a product of an **odd** number of distinct primes.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$ can be factorized as $N = N_+ \cdot N_-$, where

- N_+ and N_- are relatively prime.
- *N*₋ is square-free, and is equal to a product of an **odd** number of distinct primes.
- All primes dividing N_+ split in M, while all primes dividing N_- are inert in M.

 $N = cond(E/\mathbf{Q})$ can be factorized as $N = N_+ \cdot N_-$, where

- N_+ and N_- are relatively prime.
- *N*₋ is square-free, and is equal to a product of an **odd** number of distinct primes.
- All primes dividing N_+ split in M, while all primes dividing N_- are inert in M.

So in particular, all primes dividing N are unramified in M.

Consider E/M. It is again modular, by the theory of quadratic base change on the automorphic side.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Consider E/M. It is again modular, by the theory of quadratic base change on the automorphic side. Namely E/M is associated to the parallel weight two Hilbert modular Hecke eigenform **f** over the real quadratic field M, with **f** being the base change of f from $GL_{2/\mathbf{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$.

Consider E/M. It is again modular, by the theory of quadratic base change on the automorphic side. Namely E/M is associated to the parallel weight two Hilbert modular Hecke eigenform **f** over the real quadratic field M, with **f** being the base change of f from $GL_{2/\mathbf{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$.

At the level of *L*-functions, we have, with ψ being the even quadratic Dirichlet character that corresponds to M/\mathbf{Q} :

Consider E/M. It is again modular, by the theory of quadratic base change on the automorphic side. Namely E/M is associated to the parallel weight two Hilbert modular Hecke eigenform **f** over the real quadratic field M, with **f** being the base change of f from $GL_{2/\mathbf{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$.

At the level of *L*-functions, we have, with ψ being the even quadratic Dirichlet character that corresponds to M/\mathbf{Q} :

$$L(s, E/M) = L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$$
$$L(s, \mathbf{f}) = L(s, f) \cdot L(s, f, \psi)$$

We have the equality of *L*-functions:

$$L(s, E/M) = L(s, \mathbf{f})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The conductor of E/M and **f** is given by $N\mathcal{O}_M$.

We have the equality of *L*-functions:

$$L(s, E/M) = L(s, \mathbf{f})$$

The conductor of E/M and **f** is given by $N\mathcal{O}_M$.

An important point: the signs of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) = L(s, f)$ and $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi) = L(s, f, \psi)$ differs by multiplication by the $\psi(-N)$:

We have the equality of *L*-functions:

$$L(s, E/M) = L(s, \mathbf{f})$$

The conductor of E/M and **f** is given by $N\mathcal{O}_M$.

An important point: the signs of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) = L(s, f)$ and $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi) = L(s, f, \psi)$ differs by multiplication by the $\psi(-N)$:

$$\psi(-N) = \psi(-1) \cdot \psi(N_+) \cdot \psi(N_-)$$

We have the equality of *L*-functions:

$$L(s, E/M) = L(s, \mathbf{f})$$

The conductor of E/M and **f** is given by $N\mathcal{O}_M$.

An important point: the signs of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) = L(s, f)$ and $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi) = L(s, f, \psi)$ differs by multiplication by the $\psi(-N)$:

$$\psi(-N) = \psi(-1) \cdot \psi(N_+) \cdot \psi(N_-)$$

which is -1 by the modified Heegner hypothesis.

Since $L(s, E/M) = L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$, it follows that the sign of the functional equation for L(s, E/M) is always equal to -1.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Since $L(s, E/M) = L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$, it follows that the sign of the functional equation for L(s, E/M) is always equal to -1.

In particular L(1, E/M) = 0.

Since $L(s, E/M) = L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$, it follows that the sign of the functional equation for L(s, E/M) is always equal to -1.

In particular L(1, E/M) = 0. Arithmetic significance of L'(1, E/M)?

Since $L(s, E/M) = L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$, it follows that the sign of the functional equation for L(s, E/M) is always equal to -1.

In particular L(1, E/M) = 0. Arithmetic significance of L'(1, E/M)?

Darmon's program: to develop an analogue of the theory of Heegner points and Gross-Zagier formulas, in the context of real quadratic extensions of \mathbf{Q} ;

Since $L(s, E/M) = L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$, it follows that the sign of the functional equation for L(s, E/M) is always equal to -1.

In particular L(1, E/M) = 0. Arithmetic significance of L'(1, E/M)?

Darmon's program: to develop an analogue of the theory of Heegner points and Gross-Zagier formulas, in the context of real quadratic extensions of \mathbf{Q} ; *p*-adic analytic methods are crucial in Darmon's program, for example in his construction of Stark-Heegner points on elliptic curves.

To state our main theorem, we first consider a class C of quadratic Hecke characters $\delta = \otimes'_{\nu} \delta_{\nu}$ of $\mathbf{A}_{M}^{\times}/M^{\times}$,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

To state our main theorem, we first consider a class C of quadratic Hecke characters $\delta = \bigotimes_{\nu}' \delta_{\nu}$ of $\mathbf{A}_{M}^{\times}/M^{\times}$, satisfying the following local conditions:

• δ is unramified at the places v dividing N.

To state our main theorem, we first consider a class C of quadratic Hecke characters $\delta = \bigotimes_{\nu}' \delta_{\nu}$ of $\mathbf{A}_{M}^{\times}/M^{\times}$, satisfying the following local conditions:

- δ is unramified at the places v dividing N.
- δ_v is trivial for $v|\infty$.

To state our main theorem, we first consider a class C of quadratic Hecke characters $\delta = \bigotimes_{\nu}' \delta_{\nu}$ of $\mathbf{A}_{M}^{\times}/M^{\times}$, satisfying the following local conditions:

- δ is unramified at the places v dividing N.
- δ_v is trivial for $v|\infty$.
- $\delta_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is trivial for \mathfrak{l} dividing N_+ .

To state our main theorem, we first consider a class C of quadratic Hecke characters $\delta = \otimes'_{\nu} \delta_{\nu}$ of $\mathbf{A}_{M}^{\times}/M^{\times}$, satisfying the following local conditions:

- δ is unramified at the places v dividing N.
- δ_v is trivial for $v|\infty$.
- $\delta_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is trivial for \mathfrak{l} dividing N_+ .
- $\delta_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is nontrivial, i.e. $\delta_{\mathfrak{l}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{l}}) = -1$, for \mathfrak{l} dividing N_{-} .
< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

For any such $\delta \in C$, the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/M, \delta) = L(s, \mathbf{f}, \delta)$ is opposite to that of $L(s, E/M) = L(s, \mathbf{f})$.

For any such $\delta \in C$, the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/M, \delta) = L(s, \mathbf{f}, \delta)$ is opposite to that of $L(s, E/M) = L(s, \mathbf{f})$.

Thus the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/M, \delta) = L(s, \mathbf{f}, \delta)$ is +1.

For any such $\delta \in C$, the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/M, \delta) = L(s, \mathbf{f}, \delta)$ is opposite to that of $L(s, E/M) = L(s, \mathbf{f})$.

Thus the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/M, \delta) = L(s, \mathbf{f}, \delta)$ is +1.

By the theorem of Friedberg-Hoffstein, there exists infinitely many such quadratic Hecke characters $\delta \in C$ of $\mathbf{A}_{M}^{\times}/M^{\times}$, satisfying the **nonvanishing condition** $L(1, E/M, \delta) = L(1, \mathbf{f}, \delta) \neq 0$.

We now define:

$$L^{\mathsf{alg}}(1, E/M, \delta) := rac{D_M^{1/2} (\mathcal{N}_{M/\mathbf{Q}} \mathfrak{c}_\delta)^{1/2} L(1, E/M, \delta)}{(\Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}})^2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Techniques of Shimura allow one to show that $L^{alg}(1, E/M, \delta) \in \mathbf{Q}$.

We now define:

$$L^{\mathsf{alg}}(1, E/M, \delta) := rac{D_M^{1/2} (\mathcal{N}_{M/\mathbf{Q}} \mathfrak{c}_\delta)^{1/2} L(1, E/M, \delta)}{(\Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}})^2}$$

Techniques of Shimura allow one to show that $L^{alg}(1, E/M, \delta) \in \mathbf{Q}$.

We are interested in studying, for $\delta \in C$, the numbers $L^{alg}(1, E/M, \delta)$, up to multiplication by squares of (non-zero) rational numbers.

Statement of Main Theorem

Our main theorem is as follows (to appear in the Transactions of the AMS):

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Statement of Main Theorem

Our main theorem is as follows (to appear in the Transactions of the AMS):

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Suppose that $L'(1, E/M) \neq 0$.

Statement of Main Theorem

Our main theorem is as follows (to appear in the Transactions of the AMS):

Suppose that $L'(1, E/M) \neq 0$. Then for any $\delta \in C$, we have:

 $L^{alg}(1, E/M, \delta) = 2 \times$ square of a rational number

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• For any $\delta \in \mathcal{C}$, we have that $\delta|_{\mathbf{A}^{\times}_{\mathbf{O}}}$ is nontrivial;

 For any δ ∈ C, we have that δ|_{A_Q} is nontrivial; thus Waldspurger's central L-value formula could **not** be directly applied to the L-value L(1, E/M, δ).

- For any δ ∈ C, we have that δ|_{A_Q} is nontrivial; thus Waldspurger's central L-value formula could **not** be directly applied to the L-value L(1, E/M, δ).
- Our main theorem is consistent with the rank zero case of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture.

- For any δ ∈ C, we have that δ|_{A_Q} is nontrivial; thus Waldspurger's central L-value formula could **not** be directly applied to the L-value L(1, E/M, δ).
- Our main theorem is consistent with the rank zero case of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. In particular we expect that the statement of the main theorem should remain valid (at least up to a factor of two), even without the condition that $L'(1, E/M) \neq 0$.

- For any δ ∈ C, we have that δ|_{A_Q} is nontrivial; thus Waldspurger's central L-value formula could **not** be directly applied to the L-value L(1, E/M, δ).
- Our main theorem is consistent with the rank zero case of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. In particular we expect that the statement of the main theorem should remain valid (at least up to a factor of two), even without the condition that $L'(1, E/M) \neq 0$.
- The original motivation for establishing our main theorem is to understand a certain *p*-adic Gross-Zagier type formula of Bertolini-Darmon.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Some ideas on the proof:

Some ideas on the proof:

• Use the Friedberg-Hoffstein theorem to construct suitable imaginary quadratic extensions of **Q** and *CM*-extensions of *M*,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Some ideas on the proof:

• Use the Friedberg-Hoffstein theorem to construct suitable imaginary quadratic extensions of **Q** and *CM*-extensions of *M*, where Gross-Zagier formulas (as generalized by Shouwu Zhang) for central *L*-values and central *L*-derivatives are applicable.

Some ideas on the proof:

• Use the Friedberg-Hoffstein theorem to construct suitable imaginary quadratic extensions of **Q** and *CM*-extensions of *M*, where Gross-Zagier formulas (as generalized by Shouwu Zhang) for central *L*-values and central *L*-derivatives are applicable. Then express $L(1, E/M, \delta)$ in terms of these auxiliary central *L*-values and central *L*-derivatives.

Some ideas on the proof:

- Use the Friedberg-Hoffstein theorem to construct suitable imaginary quadratic extensions of **Q** and *CM*-extensions of *M*, where Gross-Zagier formulas (as generalized by Shouwu Zhang) for central *L*-values and central *L*-derivatives are applicable. Then express $L(1, E/M, \delta)$ in terms of these auxiliary central *L*-values and central *L*-derivatives.
- The condition $L'(1, E/M) \neq 0$ is needed, because Kolyvagin's theorem is used at one and crucial point of the argument (to cancel the transcendental factors coming from the Neron-Tate heights of Heegner points).

Some ideas on the proof:

- Use the Friedberg-Hoffstein theorem to construct suitable imaginary quadratic extensions of **Q** and *CM*-extensions of *M*, where Gross-Zagier formulas (as generalized by Shouwu Zhang) for central *L*-values and central *L*-derivatives are applicable. Then express $L(1, E/M, \delta)$ in terms of these auxiliary central *L*-values and central *L*-derivatives.
- The condition L'(1, E/M) ≠ 0 is needed, because Kolyvagin's theorem is used at one and crucial point of the argument (to cancel the transcendental factors coming from the Neron-Tate heights of Heegner points).
- Use results of Ribet-Takahashi concerning degree of modular parametrization of elliptic curve over **Q** by modular curve (and similar results in the setting of totally real fields).

For the rest of the talk, assume N_{-} is equal to a single odd prime p (the modified Heegner hypothesis is still in force).

For the rest of the talk, assume N_{-} is equal to a single odd prime p (the modified Heegner hypothesis is still in force). In particular E/\mathbf{Q} has multiplicative reduction at the prime p.

For the rest of the talk, assume N_{-} is equal to a single odd prime p (the modified Heegner hypothesis is still in force). In particular E/\mathbf{Q} has multiplicative reduction at the prime p. Assume in addition that E/\mathbf{Q} has **split** multiplicative reduction at the prime p, i.e. $a_p(f) = +1$.

For the rest of the talk, assume N_{-} is equal to a single odd prime p (the modified Heegner hypothesis is still in force). In particular E/\mathbf{Q} has multiplicative reduction at the prime p. Assume in addition that E/\mathbf{Q} has **split** multiplicative reduction at the prime p, i.e. $a_p(f) = +1$.

We have the Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) = L_p(s, f)$.

For the rest of the talk, assume N_{-} is equal to a single odd prime p (the modified Heegner hypothesis is still in force). In particular E/\mathbf{Q} has multiplicative reduction at the prime p. Assume in addition that E/\mathbf{Q} has **split** multiplicative reduction at the prime p, i.e. $a_p(f) = +1$.

We have the Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) = L_p(s, f)$. From the condition that E/\mathbf{Q} has split multiplicative reduction at *p*, we have the **exceptional sign change** phenomenon:

For the rest of the talk, assume N_{-} is equal to a single odd prime p (the modified Heegner hypothesis is still in force). In particular E/\mathbf{Q} has multiplicative reduction at the prime p. Assume in addition that E/\mathbf{Q} has **split** multiplicative reduction at the prime p, i.e. $a_p(f) = +1$.

We have the Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, E/\mathbf{Q}) = L_p(s, f)$. From the condition that E/\mathbf{Q} has split multiplicative reduction at *p*, we have the **exceptional sign change** phenomenon: the sign of the functional equation for $L_p(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ is opposite to that of $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$.

In general the p-adic interpolation property of p-adic L-function gives:

$$L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = (1 - \frac{1}{a_p(f)}) \cdot \frac{L(1, E/\mathbf{Q})}{\Omega_{E/\mathbf{Q}}^+}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In general the p-adic interpolation property of p-adic L-function gives:

$$L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = (1 - \frac{1}{a_p(f)}) \cdot \frac{L(1, E/\mathbf{Q})}{\Omega_{E/\mathbf{Q}}^+}$$

and hence we always have $L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = 0$ irregardless of the value of $L(1, E/\mathbf{Q})$, i.e. a **trivial zero**.

In general the p-adic interpolation property of p-adic L-function gives:

$$L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = (1 - \frac{1}{a_p(f)}) \cdot \frac{L(1, E/\mathbf{Q})}{\Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}}}$$

and hence we always have $L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = 0$ irregardless of the value of $L(1, E/\mathbf{Q})$, i.e. a **trivial zero**.

Thus if we assume that the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ being equal to -1, then the sign of the functional equation for $L_p(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ is equal to +1, and we have $L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = 0, L'_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = 0$,

In general the p-adic interpolation property of p-adic L-function gives:

$$L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = (1 - \frac{1}{a_p(f)}) \cdot \frac{L(1, E/\mathbf{Q})}{\Omega^+_{E/\mathbf{Q}}}$$

and hence we always have $L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = 0$ irregardless of the value of $L(1, E/\mathbf{Q})$, i.e. a **trivial zero**.

Thus if we assume that the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ being equal to -1, then the sign of the functional equation for $L_p(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ is equal to +1, and we have $L_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = 0, L'_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) = 0$, so it is of interest to study the second derivative $L''_p(1, E/\mathbf{Q})$.

Bertolini-Darmon: instead of considering derivative with respect to the *s*-variable (the cyclotomic variable),

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Bertolini-Darmon: instead of considering derivative with respect to the *s*-variable (the cyclotomic variable), consider derivative with respect to the weight variable k,

Bertolini-Darmon: instead of considering derivative with respect to the *s*-variable (the cyclotomic variable), consider derivative with respect to the weight variable k, in the context of a Hida family containing f,

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Bertolini-Darmon: instead of considering derivative with respect to the *s*-variable (the cyclotomic variable), consider derivative with respect to the weight variable k, in the context of a Hida family containing f, and also in the context of quadratic base change with respect to the real quadratic field M.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Bertolini-Darmon: instead of considering derivative with respect to the *s*-variable (the cyclotomic variable), consider derivative with respect to the weight variable k, in the context of a Hida family containing f, and also in the context of quadratic base change with respect to the real quadratic field M.

Note that, with the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ being equal to -1 (and thus the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$ is equal to +1), we have $L'(1, E/\mathbf{M}) = L'(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$.

Bertolini-Darmon: instead of considering derivative with respect to the *s*-variable (the cyclotomic variable), consider derivative with respect to the weight variable k, in the context of a Hida family containing f, and also in the context of quadratic base change with respect to the real quadratic field M.

Note that, with the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ being equal to -1 (and thus the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$ is equal to +1), we have $L'(1, E/\mathbf{M}) = L'(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$.

Thus let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k\}$ be a Hida family containing f.

Bertolini-Darmon: instead of considering derivative with respect to the *s*-variable (the cyclotomic variable), consider derivative with respect to the weight variable k, in the context of a Hida family containing f, and also in the context of quadratic base change with respect to the real quadratic field M.

Note that, with the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ being equal to -1 (and thus the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$ is equal to +1), we have $L'(1, E/\mathbf{M}) = L'(1, E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot L(1, E/\mathbf{Q}, \psi)$.

Thus let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k\}$ be a Hida family containing f. Here $f_2 = f$, and for $k \ge 2$, $k \equiv 2 \mod p - 1$ (and k sufficiently close to 2 p-adically), we have that f_k is a Hecke eigenform of weight k.
Let \mathfrak{F} be the quadratic base change of \mathcal{F} from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$.

Let \mathfrak{F} be the quadratic base change of \mathcal{F} from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$. Thus $\mathfrak{F} = {\mathbf{f}_k}$ is a Hida family of parallel weights Hilbert modular Hecke eigenforms over M;

Let \mathfrak{F} be the quadratic base change of \mathcal{F} from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$. Thus $\mathfrak{F} = {\mathbf{f}_k}$ is a Hida family of parallel weights Hilbert modular Hecke eigenforms over M; one has that \mathbf{f}_k is the base change of f_k from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$; in particular $\mathbf{f}_2 = \mathbf{f}$.

Let \mathfrak{F} be the quadratic base change of \mathcal{F} from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$. Thus $\mathfrak{F} = {\mathbf{f}_k}$ is a Hida family of parallel weights Hilbert modular Hecke eigenforms over M; one has that \mathbf{f}_k is the base change of f_k from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$; in particular $\mathbf{f}_2 = \mathbf{f}$.

We have the *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathbf{f}_k) = L_p(s, f_k) \cdot L_p(s, f_k, \psi)$ attached to \mathbf{f}_k .

Let \mathfrak{F} be the quadratic base change of \mathcal{F} from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$. Thus $\mathfrak{F} = {\mathbf{f}_k}$ is a Hida family of parallel weights Hilbert modular Hecke eigenforms over M; one has that \mathbf{f}_k is the base change of f_k from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$; in particular $\mathbf{f}_2 = \mathbf{f}$.

We have the *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathbf{f}_k) = L_p(s, f_k) \cdot L_p(s, f_k, \psi)$ attached to \mathbf{f}_k .

In addition we have the two variable *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathfrak{F}) = L_p(s, \{\mathbf{f}_k\})$ associated to the parallel weights Hida family \mathfrak{F} , that interpolates the *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathbf{f}_k)$ associated to each \mathbf{f}_k .

Let \mathfrak{F} be the quadratic base change of \mathcal{F} from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$. Thus $\mathfrak{F} = {\mathbf{f}_k}$ is a Hida family of parallel weights Hilbert modular Hecke eigenforms over M; one has that \mathbf{f}_k is the base change of f_k from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$; in particular $\mathbf{f}_2 = \mathbf{f}$.

We have the *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathbf{f}_k) = L_p(s, f_k) \cdot L_p(s, f_k, \psi)$ attached to \mathbf{f}_k .

In addition we have the two variable *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathfrak{F}) = L_p(s, \{\mathbf{f}_k\})$ associated to the parallel weights Hida family \mathfrak{F} , that interpolates the *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathbf{f}_k)$ associated to each \mathbf{f}_k . We denote this simply as $L_p(s, k)$.

Let \mathfrak{F} be the quadratic base change of \mathcal{F} from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$. Thus $\mathfrak{F} = {\mathbf{f}_k}$ is a Hida family of parallel weights Hilbert modular Hecke eigenforms over M; one has that \mathbf{f}_k is the base change of f_k from $GL_{2/\mathbb{Q}}$ to $GL_{2/M}$; in particular $\mathbf{f}_2 = \mathbf{f}$.

We have the *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathbf{f}_k) = L_p(s, f_k) \cdot L_p(s, f_k, \psi)$ attached to \mathbf{f}_k .

In addition we have the two variable *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathfrak{F}) = L_p(s, \{\mathbf{f}_k\})$ associated to the parallel weights Hida family \mathfrak{F} , that interpolates the *p*-adic *L*-function $L_p(s, \mathbf{f}_k)$ associated to each \mathbf{f}_k . We denote this simply as $L_p(s, k)$. We are interested in the values of this two-variable *p*-adic *L*-function on the line s = k/2.

We have the following theorem: as before assume that E/\mathbf{Q} has split-multiplicative reduction at p, and that the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ is equal to -1.

We have the following theorem: as before assume that E/\mathbf{Q} has split-multiplicative reduction at p, and that the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ is equal to -1. Then we have the formula:

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2}L_p(k/2,k)\Big|_{k=2} = 2 \cdot (\log_{E,p}(\mathbf{P}))^2$$

We have the following theorem: as before assume that E/\mathbf{Q} has split-multiplicative reduction at p, and that the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ is equal to -1. Then we have the formula:

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2}L_p(k/2,k)\Big|_{k=2} = 2 \cdot (\log_{E,p}(\mathbf{P}))^2$$

Here $\log_{E,p}$ is the *p*-adic logarithm on E/\mathbf{Q}_p defined using Tate's *p*-adic uniformization of E/\mathbf{Q}_p , and $\mathbf{P} \in E(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$;

We have the following theorem: as before assume that E/\mathbf{Q} has split-multiplicative reduction at p, and that the sign of the functional equation for $L(s, E/\mathbf{Q})$ is equal to -1. Then we have the formula:

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2}L_p(k/2,k)\Big|_{k=2} = 2 \cdot (\log_{E,p}(\mathbf{P}))^2$$

Here $\log_{E,p}$ is the *p*-adic logarithm on E/\mathbf{Q}_p defined using Tate's *p*-adic uniformization of E/\mathbf{Q}_p , and $\mathbf{P} \in E(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$; \mathbf{P} is non-torsion iff $L'(1, E/M) \neq 0$ (thus if L'(1, E/M) = 0 then both sides of the formula are zero).

This formula was proved by Bertolini-Darmon in their paper *Hida* families and rational points on elliptic curves,

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

This formula was proved by Bertolini-Darmon in their paper Hida families and rational points on elliptic curves, in the case where E/\mathbf{Q} satisfies the extra condition that it has multiplicative reduction at some prime $q|N_+$.

This formula was proved by Bertolini-Darmon in their paper Hida families and rational points on elliptic curves, in the case where E/\mathbf{Q} satisfies the extra condition that it has multiplicative reduction at some prime $q|N_+$.

Without this extra condition, I had shown in a previous work that the following formula holds:

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2}L_p(k/2,k)\Big|_{k=2} = \ell \cdot (\log_{E,p}(\mathbf{P}))^2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2}L_p(k/2,k)\Big|_{k=2} = \ell \cdot (\log_{E,p}(\mathbf{P}))^2$$

where $\mathbf{P} \in E(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ as before, and ℓ is a rational number, with:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2}L_p(k/2,k)\Big|_{k=2} = \ell \cdot (\log_{E,p}(\mathbf{P}))^2$$

where $\mathbf{P} \in E(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ as before, and ℓ is a rational number, with:

$$\ell \equiv L^{alg}(1, E/M, \delta) \mod (\mathbf{Q}^{\times})^2$$

for any $\delta \in C$ with $L(1, E/M, \delta) \neq 0$.

$$\frac{d^2}{dk^2}L_p(k/2,k)\Big|_{k=2} = \ell \cdot (\log_{E,p}(\mathbf{P}))^2$$

where $\mathbf{P} \in E(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ as before, and ℓ is a rational number, with:

$$\ell \equiv L^{alg}(1, E/M, \delta) \mod (\mathbf{Q}^{\times})^2$$

for any $\delta \in C$ with $L(1, E/M, \delta) \neq 0$.

Our main theorem on special values thus amounts to saying that ℓ is two times the square of a rational number. Consequently the Bertolini-Darmon formula holds without the extra condition.